

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JENNY LISETTE FLORES; *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WILLIAM P. BARR, Attorney  
General of the United States; *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: CV 85-4544-DMG (AGR<sub>x</sub>)


**ORDER RE DEFENDANTS' *EX*  
*PARTE* APPLICATION FOR  
EXPANDED PAGE LIMIT [631]**

1 THIS CAUSE comes before the Court upon Defendant's *Ex Parte*  
2 Application for Expanded Page Limit.

3 UPON CONSIDERATION of the *Ex Parte* Application, and for the reasons  
4 set forth therein, the Court hereby ORDERS that Defendants are permitted an  
5 expanded page limit of sixty (60) pages to file a single consolidated memorandum  
6 of law that addresses the issues that they wish to raise related to Plaintiff's motion  
7 for a permanent injunction to enforce the Flores Settlement Agreement and their own  
8 motion to terminate the *Flores* Settlement Agreement.<sup>1</sup>

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10  
11  
12 DATED: September 3, 2019

  
13 DOLLY M. GEE  
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 <sup>1</sup> While the Court is not pleased that Defendants failed to comply with the notice requirements in Local  
28 Rule 7-19 and the Court's Initial Standing Order regarding *ex parte* applications, the Court exercises its discretion to  
allow the filing due to the relatedness of the issues and the lack of prejudice to Plaintiffs. Future non-compliance  
with the Local Rules or Standing Order shall result in the summary denial of the motion and/or application.